GITY OF GLASGOW COLLEGE

Board of Management Learning & Teaching Committee

Date of Meeting	Tuesday 8 September 2020
Paper No.	LTC1-H
Agenda Item	5.2
Subject of Paper	Academic Board Minute 13 05 20
FOISA Status	Disclosable
Primary Contact	Paul Little, Principal
Date of production	May 2020
Action	For Noting

Recommendations

The Committee is asked to note the Academic Board minute of the meeting held on 13 May 2020.

GTTY OF GLASGOW COLLEGE

Meeting of Academic Board

Minute of meeting held on 13 May 2020 at 1000 hrs

Present	
Sheila Lodge (SL) (Vice Convenor)	Elsie Jackson (EJ)
Claire Carney (CC)	Elodie Nowinski (EN)
Callum Cross (CS)	Gillian Plunkett (GP)
Dylan Duff (DD)	Mark Stagg (MS)
Tom Duff (TD)	Jackie McCormick (JM)
Roy Gardner (RG)	Colin McCrum (CM)
John Gribben (JG)	Ryan McKellar (RM)
Gus Grubb (GG)	Siobhan Wilson (SW)
Scott Harrison (SH)	
In Attendance	
Tracey Howe (TH	
Eleanor Doull (ED)	
Sharon Ritchie (SR) (Minute Secretary)	
Apologies for absence	
Paul Clark (PC)	
Paul Little (PL)	

Item 1	Apologies for Absence	
Paper No: Verbal	Lead: S Lodge	Action requested: Note
Discussion	Apologies were received from	PC and PL.
Decision	The apologies were noted.	

Item 2	Minute of Meeting (25 February 2020)		
Paper No: AB3-A	Lead: S Lodge	Action requested: Approval	
Discussion	The minute of the meeting held on 25 February 2020 was approved without amendment.		
	It was noted that item 6 (paper AB2-D) was approved via email vote towards the end of week 25.02.2020.		
		RG advised that the action items from meeting 28.05.2019 would be provided at the end of the academic year.	
Decision	Approved		

Item 3	Chairs Business	
Paper No: Verbal	Lead: S Lodge	Action requested: Note
Discussion		Academic Board meeting and highlighted ited times, the College continued to operate

She went on to say that both ELT and SMT continued to discuss next
steps and various scenarios for 'moving forward'.

She indicated that planning was underway and would be widely communicated. She stressed that the primary concern when collating these plans would be the health and wellbeing of all staff and students.

Decision

Noted

Item 4.1	Internal Assessment Guid	ance Covid-19 Academic Session 2019/20
Paper No: AB3-B	Lead: J McCormick	Action requested: Discussion
Discussion	JM indicated that the paper provided an overview of SQA and College Scotland Exceptional Circumstance Assessment of Evidence Guidance (COVID-19 Emergency 2020).	
	•	nternal assessment guidance was developed implement SQA's guidance, and was issued Deans on 28 April 2020.
	✓ The guidance outlined panels.	and defined the Internal Quality Assurance
	 ✓ Only difficult or borderli to the IQA panels. 	ine assessment decisions would be deferred
	✓ The IQA panels would Associate Deans.	be set up within Faculty and would be led by
	✓ Participants within the group would comprise Curriculum Heads, where appropriate assessors and students (where learning support plans are in place). A member of support staff would be available to answer questions pertaining to adapted assessment arrangements.	
	✓ The guidance outlined that one of the key elements was for Learning and Teaching to continue until sufficient evidence for learners was gathered.	
	panels should be concl the timeline was to ens next academic year, to	n the guidance that, where possible, the luded by 12 June 2020. Part of the reason for sure that a line is drawn in anticipation for the allow staff where possible to participate in hk about delivery from September 2020
	gaps and solutions to h	ling in a mapping exercise that would identify nelp close those gaps. This will allow for ng for as many students as possible.
	It was noted that this was not an easy exercise to complete, and was challenging for a large number of staff. Staff involved were thanked for their hard work and commitment.	
Decision	Noted	

Item 4.2	Draft tDAP FAQs		
Paper No: AB3-C	Lead: T Howe	Action requested: Discussion	
Discussion	SL outlined that the College was still strategically aiming to apply for taught Degree Awarding Powers and that the preparations have moved online. This process would be finalised once the College felt confident enough to produce evidence to meet all the criteria. TH highlighted that the paper introduced the first draft of the frequently asked questions for the taught Degree Awarding Powers Project, which formed part of the communications plan. TH advised that the first step was to stop using the terminology tDAP and instead use Taught Degree Awarding Powers. She emphasised that the College had no intention to apply for Research Degree Awarding Powers, which was a requirement for institutions seeking a University title. She highlighted that the College could award degrees if it obtained tDAP, but that would not make CoGC a University.		
	than University'. Cheapness consensus was that the lange	d 'College education is significantly cheaper was not always seen as an attribute. The uage needed to be rephrased to 'more cost cribing the education as being cheap.	
	identified opportunities for deg be the responsibility of the Dea Lecturing staff to decide and	rould need to bring forth proposals when they gree development. She stressed that it would ans, Associate Deans, Curriculum Heads and identify good opportunities. The market for a be researched and the proposal would go oval process/system.	
	require new contracts. It woul workload for the academic se offered numerous degrees (viteaching those degrees did not	ot believe that teaching on a degree would d be part of an agreement on an individual's ession. She advised that the College already alidated by other Universities) and that staff of have different contracts from other people. The eement that there would be no change to clearly outlined in the FAQs.	
	which included the new Intran	n would occur in a number of different ways, et (under construction). The idea was to have arding Powers, which would allow people to s.	
		at they have been planning communication ight Degree Awarding Powers with groups of	
	SL asked that members of Academic Board assist by being advocate Taught Degree Awarding Powers.		
Decision	Noted		

Item 5.1	UKV&I Checking Process		
Paper No: AB3-D	Lead: E Doull Action requested: Discussion		
Discussion	ED advised that the purpose of the paper was to provide an overview for conducting random checks with respect to residency eligibility to study at the College.		
	✓ There were a number of groups excluded from residency checks including: senior phase pupils; DGP18, Prince's Trust; Tasters.		
	✓ There are a number of students checked by other methodologies not conducted by the College. These include students in receipt of a student loan (from SAAS), students in receipt of a College bursary, etc.		
	✓ An algorithm will be created by IT to ensure that samples are random.		
	✓ An email will be circulated to students requesting the upload of documents. The aim was to make it as easy as possible for students, hence majority of the data will be pre-populated.		
	✓ There will be a series of reminders for students who have not supplied the requisite information. In an attempt to keep costs to a minimum, it is anticipated that checks will most likely be conducted by the College Faculty administrative staff.		
	✓ If a student should prove unable to provide the requisite documentation, this will be flagged to the International Compliance Managers to follow up.		
	√ 'Wireframes' have been created to reflect the unit-e screens which will be viewed by both students and those staff conducting the checks.		
	✓ IT Developers have commenced development work with a target completion date set at August 2020, and the deployment date for late October/early November 2020.		
	✓ User acceptance testing (UAT) will be required to be conducted before going live, however the approach will be influenced by lockdown conditions.		
	SL ended off by stating that she was hopeful that this process would fulfil the Colleges obligations. She thanked ED and the teams involved for their hard work.		
Decision	Noted		

Item 6.1	QAEC Minutes 04.02.2020	
Paper No: AB3-E	Lead: S Lodge	Action requested: Note
Discussion	The approved minute of the C was noted.	AEC meeting held on 04 February 2020
Decision	Noted.	

Item 6.2	SAEC Minutes 24.02.2020	
Paper No: AB2-F	Lead: C Carney	Action requested: Note
Discussion	The draft minute of the SAEC noted.	meeting held on 24 February 2020 was
Decision	Noted.	

Item 6.3	SSC Minutes 18.02.2020	
Paper No: AB2-G	Lead: S Thompson	Action requested: Note
Discussion	The draft minute of the SSC n circulated once received.	neeting held on 18 February 2020: to be
Decision	Noted.	

Item 6.4	College Ethics Sub-Committee			
Paper No: Verbal	Lead: T Howe	Action requested: Note		
Discussion	which would oversee the de institutional procedures and g from teaching, research, ente College activities. She high	TH advised that the proposal was to create a College Ethics Committee which would oversee the development, implementation and review of institutional procedures and guidelines relating to ethical issues that arise from teaching, research, enterprise and consultancy and any other related College activities. She highlighted that this was a standard type of committee that occurred in other large institutions.		
	TH outlined that the College did have ethical consideration of a number of points, but there was nowhere that brought them all together. The proposal was to create a College Ethics Committee that would consider all things of that nature. The committee would provide a series of resources that would be available to all staff and students. She indicated that the pressing issues were around funding application for projects and the publications. She stressed that as the College move towards Taught Degree Awarding Powers, due consideration would need to be given to the ethical consequences of student projects. TH advised that it was good practise to have such committees and the proposal was that this would be a sub-committee of the Quality Assurance Enhancement Committee, through which it would report to Academic Board.			
	guidance for staff to peruse, to considered. The ethics propo- projects involving vulnerable of	nittee may produce a series of checklists and o help ensure that all possibilities have been sals would be aimed at larger projects or groups. This would be entirely dependent on class level as opposed to asking every		
	the College looking at ethical	ertain that there were departments within issues. The idea was not for the committee d the committee would be at hand for any wanted to refer for an opinion.		

	SL welcomed the idea of a Faculty Ethics Committee. She encouraged the Deans to explore the idea for their own individual areas.
Decision	Noted.

Item 7	Any Other Business			
Paper No:	Lead: S Lodge	Action requested: Note		
Discussion		dvised that a 'special' meeting of the Academic Board would be eduled, focusing on Digital Transformation within the College.		
	The title CoPTE was changed to The Learning & Teaching Academy.			
	GP advised that there was a call out from SAAS regarding clarification of additional funding for students who may need to repeat a year. She would provide feedback once received.			
	SL highlighted that Academic Board was a very important forum for the College. Members of the Board were encouraged to relay meeting information to their colleagues.			
	SL ended the meeting by indicating that ELT was very much aware that people were working under difficult circumstances. Colleagues were thanked for their hard work and ongoing professionalism.			
	There was no other business	was no other business for noting.		
Decision	Noted.			

Item 8	Date of Next Meeting	
Paper No: Verbal	Lead: S Lodge	Action requested: Note
Discussion	The next meeting date was noted as September 2020.	
Decision	Noted.	

ANNEX TO THE MINUTE

ACTION POINTS ARISING FROM THE MEETING

13 May 2020

Item	Description	Owner	Target Date
Item 7	A 'special' meeting of the Academic Board to be scheduled focusing on Digital Transformation within the College.	SR	TBC