# **CITY** OF **GLASGOW COLLEGE**

# **Board of Management**

# **Performance & Nominations Committee**

Date of Meeting	Monday 23 May 2022
Paper No.	PNC5-A
Agenda Item	3.1
Subject of Paper	Developing a Performance Framework
FOISA Status	Disclosable
Primary Contact	Jon Gray
Date of production	May 2022
Action	For Approval

### Recommendation

• To approve the proposed measures for the College level Balanced Scorecard.

### 2. Purpose of Paper

• To support the re-establishment of performance measures for the College, its services and strategies.

### 3. Strategic Context and Key Points

- In order to demonstrate impact and adherence with legislation, the College is required to collect information on its performance.
- Performance information also supports the College to meet external quality standards and evidence impact for awards.
- To achieve the European Foundation for Quality Management *Global Award*, we also need to establish national and international performance benchmarks.
- Currently, not all performance information is held centrally. Moreover, following the renewal of a number of College strategies, many measures need updating.

### 4. Impact, risk and Implications

- Reliable performance information is essential for compliance and effective reporting to Funders, Auditors and regulatory bodies.
- Each year the Scottish Funding Council (SFC), the national body which funds and monitors learning and teaching in colleges and universities, measures the performance of colleges as part of a Regional Outcome Agreement. The SFC compares, amongst other things, the volume of learning delivered, the numbers enrolled, levels of satisfaction and course completion rates.
- Progress against Scottish Funding Council performance indicators has both reputational and financial risks and the quality of the learning and teaching experience impacts directly on levels of Student Satisfaction and Successful completion.

- In addition, Education Scotland oversees the quality of learning and teaching in Scottish Colleges. Colleges are asked to produce annual evaluative reports and enhancement plans, based on the, *How Good is our College?* Framework, which are independently validated by Education Scotland and SFC.
- Performance information for other quality marks and standards, including the EFQM, also need to be maintained, making the case for a coherent coordinated central approach.

#### Annexes

A – Proposed Balanced Scorecard Measures

## City of Glasgow College Level Performance Measures – source of data to be determined

Students & Stakeholders	Measures	Baseline	Benchmark	22-23	23-24	24-25
We are the biggest college in	Sector leading volume of provision	188,110	192,000	191,000		
Scotland delivering for Government	SFC Measure - % Credits delivered		Currently 2 <sup>nd</sup> in the sector to Edinburgh			
	Enrolments	28,396	32,595			
	SFC Measure - % FTE (160 hrs)	15,363	15,363 Currently sector leading			
We are an Inclusive college delivering for all	Opportunities for all SFC Measure - % credits to protected characteristics / priority groups	23%	25% Aim is to ensure a quarter of provision is for the most disadvantaged	23%	24%	25%
We are a sector leading college delivering for students	Sector leading attainment FE FT SFC Measure	62%	<b>73.6%</b> Dundee and Angus (2978 students)			
J. J	Sector leading attainment HE FT	76%	81.9% Dundee and Angus (1589 students)			
	Sector leading FE & HE combined outcomes SIMD10% / PC	70%				
	Sector leading SFC Satisfaction survey FT FE Sector Leading SFC Satisfaction survey FT HE Response rate	80% 74% 36%	87% 80% 35%			
	Excellent External Assessor Rating	Very Good 2015/16	Excellent			
We are an economic anchor delivering for business and the	Sector leading leaver positive destinations Volume of FWDF / against target	96% £900,000	£1M Largest provider in the sector	£1.4M		
region	% repeat business	60%	75%			
-	Employer satisfaction	90%	90%+			
We are a world leader in Skills delivering for international	Number of international partnerships	104	Edinburgh	100+	100+	100+
business partners	Volume of overseas commercial income (partner activity)	£150,000	Edinburgh	£175,000	£200,000	£250,000
	Income from overseas students	£2.2M	£2.4M pre pandemic levels	£2.2M	£2.4M	£2.6M
	Income from Halls of residence	£1.5M				

Owner & Comments
ST
CC (ST)
66 (31)
CC (ST)
CC
CC
CC
СС
CC
CC
RG
RG (assumption minimum of 20% active income
generating partnerships) RG Efficiency Measure - cost
RO Eniciency measure - cost
Runs to maximum capacity
Summer school activity to bolster income
 ······

Financial	Measures	Baseline 2022	Benchmark	22-23	23-24	24-25	Owner & Comments
We are financially viable	Achieve operating surplus	(£0.4M)	£1.2M (18-19)				ST
	Cash flow position						ST
We are balanced and sustainable	Staff costs as a proportion of all costs						ST (JG)
We are efficient	Average cost per student FTE						ST (CC)
	Cost of early withdrawal						ST (CC)
We have diversified our income sources	% non SFC income						ST (RG) Overseas / Halls
	% commercial services income						ST
We are an economic anchor delivering for business and the region	Volume of FWDF / against target	£1M	£1M Largest provider in the sector	£1.4M			RG
-	% repeat business						

Internal Processes	Measures	Baseline 2022	Benchmark	22-23	23-24	24-25	Owner & Comments
We continuously improve our processes	Number Revised and improved processes Time saved expressed as % of the overall current time taken to do something.		minimum 5% efficiency gain				
We are legally compliant	UKVI Status retained External Audit compliance Charitable Status (OSCR) Data Protection (FOISA) & (Subject Access requests)	Compliance Zero conformities	Compliance Zero conformities				RG SL
We are externally endorsed	Status retained for: BSI 900 CSE Investors in Innovation STEM assured status CISCO ISE (IT) EFQM Compliance with other Awarding bodies Internal Audit Handling of complaints	Compliance Zero conformities	Compliance Zero conformities				SL / ELT
We are green and ethical	Reduced carbon footprint – Co2	3939 tCo2e					SL
	Reduced waste production – kg waste levels	207,000 kg					SL

Growth & Development	Measures	Baseline 2022	Benchmark	22-23	23-24	24-25	Owner & Con
We invest to lead	Level of capital funding						ST
	Level of research & innovation funding	£650,000	£750,000 Our best	£650,000	£700,000	£750,000	RG
	Scale of investment in digital infrastructure						ST
We are world class	EFQM rating	Six Star	Global Award				ELT
	World Skills Centre of Excellence	Status Awarded					
	Volume of strategic awards won	12	15	12	15	15	SL
	European Benchmarks						RG
	Skills Academies	1 in each curriculum area	Manchester Group				RG - Perform (CC)
		Number of industry projects					
		% work placement in each curriculum area					
	Internal Audit Completion	Zero Conformities					SL
	Handling of complaints	Fewer complaints, processed faster	83 complaints				SL
	% unfilled vacancies						JG
We are well supported, resourced	% absence	3.2%	3%				JG
and trained	% turnover rate	6%	6%				JG
	Employee Satisfaction Robertson Cooper + Targeted Pulse surveys	5+ point difference on resilience score 70% response rate	5+ point difference on resilience score				JG
			70% response rate				
	Volume of staff with TQFE						JG (CC)
	Volume of staff GTCS registered						JG
We are fair, diverse and inclusive	Mean Pay gap	10.17%					JG (SL)
	Staff Diversity profile Equality targets	6% BME Staff 8% Disabled Staff					JG (SL)

	Owner & Comments
	ST
000	RG
	ST
	ELT
	SL
	RG
	RG - Performance Framework College / Faculty level (CC)
	SL
	SL
	JG
	JG
	JG
	JG
	JG (CC) JG
	JG
	JG (SL)
	JG (SL)